British Columbia co-ops Fed-up

By John Thomas

mass social change, or are we a group of hippies pro-ducing food for ourselves and our friends? If we are the latter, we are achieving our goals; if the former, we are

failing badly."

That's how the British Columbia co-operative move-ment, in a recent article in its ment, in a recent article in its newsletter, assesses the choices facing co-op mem-bers as their movement enters a new stage of relative economic and organizational

The co-op food movement has probably been one of the more lasting contributions of the North American counterculture But its initial ideal. ism as a perceived engine of revolutionary change has given way, in some cases, to a "small business mentality" that emphasizes up to 20 per cent savings for its mem-

In B.C., the co-op move-ment has grown to include more than 50 co-ops and worker-controlled production units spread out over a mostly mountainous area the size of California. The co-ops are mainly small groups of consumers, some in city neighborhoods and towns and the rest in the country, while the production units while the production units are collectives turning out canned and baked goods, granola and other food stuffs. The B.C. movement with

estimated 5,000 members is the largest and most poli-tically-advanced in Canada, but it's only medium-sized in coordinating body is the Fed-Up council, which has its own distribution warehouse Vancouver and which han-dles about \$600,000 worth of goods annually. Fed-Up has federations and co-ops down the U.S. West Coast.

After the first rush of organizing fervor, growth the B.C. co-ops levelled off in 1973. Co-ops had first been extablished in the province two years earlier as part of the general flowering of the Left and counter-culture communities, and unpaid or-ganizers had fanned out across the province and even into the Yukon and Alberta. The co-ops were an organ-ized response to the demands for collective democracy and user control.

Neighbourhood Collectives

The basic unit of the co-op is the neighborhood collective, usually consisting of 15-to-30 people. The collective, generally meeting in some-body's house, acts as a smallscale co-op. It takes orders from individual members, groups the orders and submits them to an area co-op or directly to Fed-Up. Food is distributed in the reverse order. Since money is handled in the same way, the system removes the need for capital and rent.

Some co-ops run store-fronts. These usually operate in the cities, sometimes with part-time paid staff. They generally serve a larger generally serve a larger group of people, keep regular store hours and have an in-

ventory on hand, much like stores-for-profit. Fed-Up buys in bulk from producers throughout B.C. and as far away as California. Most of the suppliers are small, independent opera-tions. Purchasing policy is to seek out foodstuffs grown under relatively natural con-ditions, and to avoid highly ditions, and to avoid highly-processed products with chemical additives. The se-lection list includes 700 items, ranging from bulk foods, to canned goods, but

foods, to canned goods, but not including meat. Fed-Up is governed by a council consisting of every co-op and production unit. The council meets every three months and decides general policy, as well as with questions arising out of

day-to-day operations.

Operation of the Fed-Up warehouse is carried out by four full-time workers, who do the bookkeeping and coordination, plus seven or eight co-op members who do much of the actual ordering, repackaging and consolida-ting of co-op orders. Co-op members from around the province contribute their labor for a week at a time on a rotating basis.

Participation from member co-ops, although causing certain logistical problems since the people may miles, gives Fed-Up much more of a mass base. Over the year, up to 700 - 800 people may work at Fed-Up; this builds links among the various co-ops and keeps the warehouse from becoming too distant from the people it

The very nature of a mem ber run co-operative, in which sharing the work is a requirement for memberrequirement requirement for member-ship, limits its appeal. Most working-class families, parti-cularily if all the adults are working 40 hours per week, whether paid or unpaid, want to get their food with a minimal amount of work.

Limited Incomes

In the main, the membership of the co-ops consists of individuals on limited in-comes. Most of them chose to be poor when they dropped of the system some ye back. But now many are finding that, with current high unemployment rates, it's harder to drop back in. With out a saleable skill, and lack out a saleable skill, and lack-ing even much saleable job experience, they find they are marginal to industry's needs and must make do with poorly paid seasonal or tem-porary work, or with wel-

The culture of food is es sential to understanding the



Co-op food is distributed via neighbour-

taneously switching their diets, learning how to cook and appreciate food, learning about nutrition and develop ing this new organisation. Through their mutual activities, people began to look to the co-ops as a means for making social contact. Working together and sharing an on-going project enabled people to develop a sense of community. This was particular ly important for people who felt isolated or, as in many rural areas, didn't have other ways of making con-tact. For a large number of people, food and the co-op became an intrinsic part of their daily lives.

Moreover the co-ops provide valuable political experience rooted in the concrete problems of running a democratic organization which people depend on for food. Questions raised include the relationship between leader and led, worker and consumer, and the fundaand consumer, and the funda-mental problem of how to get people to be active parti-

The co-operative move-ment will never be able to supersede capitalism on its own. It is still ultimately dependent on corporations; direct links between the pro direct links between the pro-ducers and the co-ops are at a tenuous stage. There is the ever present danger that if they get to a point where they threaten corporate in-terests they will be destroy-ed with State collusion.

However, the co-ops are a "propaganda-by-deed" organisation. They demonstrate that people can come
together to meet their own needs. This creates the
awareness that perhaps we
don't need the State or the capitalists. Participation in a for politicization but can be a contributing factor.

For further information on Fed-Up, write to them at 304 E. 1st Ave., Vancouver, B.C.

Everybody needs nobody sometimes

By Steve Conliff

With a clear plurality of the American electorate voting for their presidential candidate, the Yippies have Nobody to blame but them-

They fought a long, hard campaign, and their cool-headed strategy and their tenacity paid off in the end where it matters: in the vote count. Twenty-seven per cent of eligible voters swallowed Carter's line but a heart-warming 47 per cent voted for Nobody.

Campaign manager Wavy Gravy (Nobody's Fool) took a moment out at the Yippie inauguaration party to explain how Nobody came to get the Yippie nod:

"Nobody is perfect. No-body cares. Nobody under-stands you. Nobody loves you when you're down-and-out. Nobody lowered our taxes. Nobody gave us jobs.

taxes. Nobody gave us jobs.
Nobody will stop imperialist
wars and legalize pot."
Nobody wasn't the only
somebody in the Yippie
campaign. Lots of other
people made a dent, and
managed to leave their mark during the campaign. For instance, there was the Aron instance, there was the Aron Kay Commando Unit which gave New York Senatorial candidate Daniel Moynihan a candidate Daniel Moyninan a taste of a different kind of medicine when it pushed a mocha cream pie into his face in front of the assembled National media. The unit had previously pied Rennie Davis and William F. Buck-ley, spat on John Erlichman, and was to go on to fulfill a pie contract on Watergate bagman Tony Ulasewicz.

And there was Ben Masel, who, with 49 busts, is rapidly closing in on Abbie Hoff-man's outdoor world record for arrests. Masel was scooped up last spring when he allegedly assaulted Pre sidential hopeful Scoop Jack



YIP's 1976 presidential

son, the Senator from Boe ing, with his deadly spittle in Madison, Wisc. He got 15 days on that one (now under appeal) after the judge said he didn't want to make a martyr of him by meting out a stiffer sentence. Since then, Masel was arrested at stration just after the inau guration when he and the Aron Kay Commando Unit chained themselves to the White House fence. They all got off on that one on a technicality, but Masel was re-arrested for "assaulting a federal officer" after a cop beat him up. (The federal

ssault charge is no laughing matter

Sostre Urges Coalition

The main speaker at the Yippie inauguration party was Black Puerto Rican anarchist Martin Sostre, who is celebrating his first anniversary on the street after spending more than nine years behind bars on a drug frame-up. Sostre urged esta-blishment of a coalition of all Left groups to push for amnesty for opponents of the Vietnam War. This means deserters, people with less-than-honorable discharges than-honorable discharges and civilian offenders, and not just draft dodgers, as Carter intends.

The Nobody-for-President campaign had been building for almost a decade, as the Yippies played out their role as the anarchist Id of North

America.
Whether crusading against the Indochina War
and the CIA Police State or
for the removal of President
Nixon and the flaunting of marijuana prohibition, the Youth International Party has always rejected the rigged U.S. electoral system.

In '68 in Chicago the Yip-ies nominated a pig named pies nominated a pig named Pigasus for president and, of course, a pig was elected. In '72 the Yippie candidate was a rock, and when the dust settled after Impeachment Summer, lo and behold, Nelson the Rock was running the country.

For the 1976 Republican For the 1976 Republican nomination, the Yippies joined with the Native American Pow-Wow Com-mittee, local radicals, the militant prostitutes' group

Coyote, the National Coalition of Gay Activists and other ex-communicants of the New Left Church of the Sacred Marx and Lenin to form the Kansas City Convention Coalition. For ten days, they distributed for free 50 pounds of domestic pot, one pound of Colombian gold, two canisters of nitrous oxide (laughing gas), a wash-tub of peyote, champagne, innumerable kazoos, balloons and red plastic noses, and 5000 New Nation flag iron-ons. Though few leftis turned out Yippies received gratifying support from K.C.'s poor people—freex and gays and straights, black and white and Indian.

K.C. Convention protests were more peaceful than the legendary '68 Democratic were more peaceful than the legendary '68 Democratic and '72 Republican riots, less peaceful than the '72 and '76 Democratic meetings. There were only about 35 arrests, all misdemeanors, the result of both a conscious govern-

ment effort to avoid focusing media attention on protes tors via mass busts, and a paralysis of terrified city officials and police faced with "big city" protest problems, which resulted in a takeover which resulted in a takeover of security by State Police. The State Pigs were anxious to bloody heads until faced with Yippies made up as clowns and visions of morning-after headlines screaming: "POLICE BEAT UP CLOWNS AT G.O.P. CONVENTION!"

CONVENTION!"

Meantime, thousands marched for Nobody for
President in San Francisco,
Austin, Tex., and Madison,
Wisc., with election-everallies held in New York and Ohio, and the running-dog media lapping it all up, as well they might. Nobody had built a mass movement. Better than the Rock in '72. better than the Rock in 72, better even than the Pig in '68, Nobody in '76 had captured the elusive zeitgeist, the spirit of the times.

The Open Road welcomes correspondence and contributions (both editorial and financial). Write to us at:

The Open Road Box 6135. Station G Vancouver, B.C. Canada